ON-SITE REVIEW CHECKLIST ASSESSMENT OF THE MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING SYSTEM

According to 7 CFR 210.8(a)(1), every school year, **prior to February 1**, each sponsor with more than one site (as defined 7 CFR Part 210.2 to include Residential Child Care Institutions (RCCIs)) must perform no less than one on-site review of the lunch counting and claiming system employed by each school under its jurisdiction.

Each on-site review must ensure the school's claim is based on the counting system, as implemented, and yields the actual number of reimbursable free, reduced price, and paid lunches, respectively, served for each day of operation.

If the review discloses problems with a school's meal counting or claiming procedures, the sponsor must ensure that the school implements corrective action, and within 45 days of the review conduct a follow-up on-site review to determine that the corrective action resolved the problems.

School N	lam	e: _		Review Date:
Sponsor	Rev	view	er:	
The follo	win	g qu	estions are reco	mmended at a minimum to complete the on-site review requirement:
YES NO)			
		1.	approved poin	used for counting reimbursable meals in compliance with the net of service requirement? (Meal counts must be taken at the re complete meals are served to children.)
		2.	Is the <i>point of</i> reimbursemen	f service meal count used to determine the school's claim for nt?
		3.		responsible for monitoring meals correctly identifying reimbursable menu planning option selected by the SFA?
		4.	Is the school of applicable):	correctly implementing policies for handling the following (as
			Yes No N/A	
				Incomplete meals? Second meals? Lost, stolen, misused, forgotten or destroyed tickets, tokens, IDs,
				PINs? Visiting student meals? Adult and non-student meals (and identifying program vs. non-program)?
				A la carte? Student worker meals? Field Trips? Charged and/or prepaid meals? Offer vs. Serve?
		5.		hod of identifying non-reimbursable meals (i.e. not meeting meal ements, seconds, adult meals, etc.), distinguishing them from meals?

		6.	Is someone trained as a backup for the monitor an	d the meal counter?
YES	NO			
		7.	Are there procedures for meal counting and claim and claiming system is not available and do staff implement it?	
		8.	Are daily counts correctly totaled and recorded?	
		9.	If claims are aggregated, are the meal counts corre	ectly totaled and consolidated?
		10.	Are internal controls (edits, monitoring, etc.) estal counts do not exceed the number of students eligi accurate claim for reimbursement is made? Reco category and compare to the number of students exceeds the number of students exceeds a student of students.	ble or in attendance and that an rd today's meal counts by
			Number of Students Approved by Category Today's N	Meal Counts by Category
				Free:
			Reduced price:	Reduced price:
			Paid:	Paid:
		11.	Does the system prevent overt identification of chaprice meals?	ildren receiving free or reduced
			NOTE: THE FOLLLOWING TWO QUESTIONS <u>EXCEPT</u> FOR SPONSORS ON PROVIS YEARS OR RCCIs WITH ONLY RESIL	SION 2 OR 3 IN NON-BASE
		12.	Is a current eligibility list kept up-to-date and used provide an accurate daily count of reimbursable nuprice, paid)?	
		13.	If applicable according to 7 CFR 210.8(a)(3), are documented which compare the daily counts of fr lunches against the product of the number of child reduced price and paid lunches, respectively, time discrepancies accounted for)?	ee, reduced price and paid lren currently eligible for free,

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (for above "NO" answers):

	TE CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) W		D:
IGNATURE:	School Representative	Title	Date
	Sponsor Reviewer	Title	Date
	corrective action implementation:		
	corrective action imprementation.		
SIGNATURE:		Title	Date